

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/597,881	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RADEMACHER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Frantzy Poinvil	<b>Art Unit</b> 3628	

**All Participants:**

(1) Frantzy Poinvil.

(2) John Stellabotte.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 February 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NONE

**Claims discussed:**

NONE

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NONE

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner inquires the status of the Application. Applicant's representative indicates that he had not received the Office Action that was mailed on 4/6/2005. It appears that the Office action of 4/6/2005 was returned by US Postal Service to the Patent Office on 6/22/2005. The time to respond to the attached Office action has been restarted. .